





The physical meaning of the various mass decompositions

Cédric Lorcé



January 15, ANL, USA

Formal definition $p^{\mu}p_{\mu} = M^2$ A global Lorentz-invariant quantity
characterizing a physical system

$$\Lambda$$
 Not additive! $p^{\mu} = p^{\mu}_q + p^{\mu}_g \Rightarrow M^2 = p^2_q + p^2_g + 2 p_q \cdot p_g$

Physical interpretation

$$p^{\mu}u_{\mu} = M$$
 Proper inertia (i.e. energy) of a system
$$\uparrow$$
CM four-
velocity $u^{\mu} = p^{\mu}/M$

Additive
$$p^{\mu} = p^{\mu}_{q} + p^{\mu}_{g} \Rightarrow M = p_{q} \cdot u + p_{g} \cdot u$$

 $= p^{0}_{q} + p^{0}_{g}$ CM frame

A mass decomposition is a proper energy sum rule

Link with the energy-momentum tensor (EMT)



Four-momentum operator

$$P^{\mu}_{a} = \int \mathrm{d}^{3}r \, T^{0\mu}_{a}(r) \qquad a = q, g$$

Expectation value

$$p_a^{\mu} \equiv \langle P_a^{\mu} \rangle = \frac{\langle p | P_a^{\mu} | p \rangle}{\langle p | p \rangle} = \frac{\int \mathrm{d}^3 r}{\underbrace{(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{0})}_{=1}} \frac{\langle p | T_a^{0\mu}(0) | p \rangle}{2p^0}$$

 \sim

Poincaré constraints (spin-0 or spin-1/2 targets)

$$\langle p|T_a^{\mu\nu}(0)|p\rangle = 2p^{\mu}p^{\nu}A_a(0) + 2M^2g^{\mu\nu}\bar{C}_a(0)$$

$$\langle P_a^{\mu} \rangle = p^{\mu} A_a(0) + \frac{M^2}{p^0} g^{0\mu} \bar{C}_a(0)$$

Not a four-vector ! (unless state is massless)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Light-front} \\ \text{version} \end{array} & \langle P_{a,\text{LF}}^{\mu} \rangle = p^{\mu} A_a(0) + \frac{M^2}{p^+} \, g^{+\mu} \bar{C}_a(0) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \langle P_{a,\text{LF}}^+ \rangle = \underbrace{A_a(0)}_{=\langle x \rangle_a} p^+ \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Four-momentum sum rules

$$p^{\mu} = \langle P_q^{\mu} \rangle + \langle P_g^{\mu} \rangle \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \begin{cases} A_q(0) + A_g(0) = 1\\ \bar{C}_q(0) + \bar{C}_g(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

[Ji, PRD58 (1998)]

From the experimental side, all we need is: 1. to measure all the coefficients and

2. to check the four-momentum sum rules

Once a decomposition of the EMT into quark and gluon contributions is determined, a well-defined mass decomposition follows automatically

$$M = \langle P_q \cdot u \rangle + \langle P_g \cdot u \rangle$$

Each term represents in a covariant way an energy contribution in the CM frame

$$\langle P_a \cdot u \rangle = \left[A_a(0) + \bar{C}_a(0) \right] M$$

[C.L., EPJC78 (2018)]

Renormalized QCD operators

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_q + T^{\mu\nu}_g$$

$$\begin{split} T^{\mu\nu}_{q} &= \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{i}{2} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}^{\nu} \psi \\ T^{\mu\nu}_{g} &= -G^{\mu\lambda} G^{\nu}{}_{\lambda} + \frac{1}{4} \, g^{\mu\nu} \, G^{2} \end{split}$$

Refinements of the mass decomposition

In CM frame

[C.L., EPJC78 (2018)] [Rodini, Metz, Pasquini, JHEP09 (2020)] [Metz, Pasquini, Rodini, PRD102 (2020)]

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \int d^3 r \,\overline{\psi} \gamma^0 i D^0 \psi \rangle - \langle \int d^3 r \,\overline{\psi} m \psi \rangle \end{bmatrix} + \langle \int d^3 r \,\overline{\psi} m \psi \rangle + \langle \int d^3 r \,\frac{1}{2} (\vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2) \rangle$$

$$Quark$$

$$Quark$$

$$Quark$$

$$Quark$$

$$Rodini$$

$$Quark$$

$$Rodini$$

$$Quark$$

$$Rest mass energy$$

Spatial distribution in CM (or Breit) frame

$$M = \int d^3 r \, T^{00}(r) = \sum_a \int d^3 r \, T_a^{00}(r)$$

$$T^{00}(r) = M \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \Delta}{(2\pi)^3} \, e^{-i\vec{\Delta}\cdot\vec{r}} \left\{ A(t) + \frac{t}{4M^2} \left[B(t) - 4C(t) \right] \right\} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{See talks by Polyakov} \\ \text{and Schweitzer} \end{array}$$

[Donoghue, Holstein, Gambrecht, Konstandin, PLB529 (2002)] [Polyakov, PLB555 (2003)] [Polyakov, Schweitzer, IJMPA33 (2018)]

$$T_a^{00}(r) = M \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \Delta}{(2\pi)^3} \, e^{-i\vec{\Delta}\cdot\vec{r}} \left\{ A_a(t) + \bar{C}_a(t) + \frac{t}{4M^2} \left[B_a(t) - 4C_a(t) \right] \right\}$$

[C.L., Moutarde, Trawinski, EPJC79 (2019)]

Quantum corrections break conformal symmetry

 $T^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = \underbrace{\frac{\beta(g)}{2g}}_{f} G^2 + (1 + \gamma_m) \overline{\psi} m \psi$

Trace anomaly

Quark mass matrix

[Crewther, PRL28 (1972)] [Chanowitz, Ellis, PRD7 (1972)] [Adler, Collins, Duncan, PRD15 (1977)] [Collins, Duncan, Joglekar, PRD16 (1977)] [Nielsen, NPB120 (1977)]

Textbook approach $\langle p|T^{\mu\nu}(0)|p\rangle = 2p^{\mu}p^{\nu}$

[Shifman, Vainshtein, Zakharov, PLB78 (1978)] [Donoghue, Golowich, Holstein, CMPPNPC2 (1992)] [Kharzeev, PISPF130 (1996)] [Roberts, FBS58 (2017)]



I) Depends on state normalization (not an expectation value!) Caveats:

2) Decomposition of squared mass and not mass

3) No explanation for the evaluation of EMT at a single spacetime point

4) Relation to mass only at the level of matrix element of total trace (meaning of individual terms?)

Trace decomposition

Expectation value (independent of state normalization)

[C.L., EPJC78 (2018)] [Krein, Thomas, Tsushima, PPNP100 (2018)]

🛕 Operator mixing

[Hatta, Rajan, Tanaka, JHEP12 (2018)] [Tanaka, JHEP01 (2019)]

$$g_{\mu\nu}T_{q}^{\mu\nu} = c_{a} (T_{\mu}^{\mu})_{a} + c_{m} (T_{\mu}^{\mu})_{m}$$
$$g_{\mu\nu}T_{g}^{\mu\nu} = (1 - c_{a}) (T_{\mu}^{\mu})_{a} + (1 - c_{m}) (T_{\mu}^{\mu})_{m}$$

 c_a, c_m are scheme and scale-dependent calculable coefficients

See talks by Hatta, Metz and Rodini

Quark-gluon separation and trace operation do not commute

Trace decomposition



Physical interpretation in CM frame



Trace decomposition combines mass decomposition with mechanical equilibrium

Ji's decomposition

Step I $T^{\mu\nu} = \bar{T}^{\mu\nu} + \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$ $\bar{T}^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} T^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha}$ [Ji, PRL74 (1995)] $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} T^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha}$ [Ji, PRL52 (1995)]

Step 2 $\bar{T}^{\mu\nu} = \bar{T}^{\mu\nu}_q + \bar{T}^{\mu\nu}_g$ NB: quark and gluon contributions also traceless $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu} = (\hat{T}^{\mu\nu})_m + (\hat{T}^{\mu\nu})_a$ or $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_q + \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}_g$ \bigwedge Operator mixing

Physical interpretation in CM frame



Ji's decomposition is a sum of terms representing physical quantities of <u>different</u> nature

Step I
$$T^{\mu\nu} = \bar{T}^{\mu\nu} + \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$$
 $\bar{T}^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} T^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha}$
 $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} T^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha}$

 $\bar{T}^{\mu\nu}, \hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$ belong to different Lorentz representations \implies separately renormalized $T^{00} = \psi^{\dagger} i \vec{D} \cdot \vec{\alpha} \psi + \overline{\psi} m \psi + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2) + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_m \overline{\psi} m \psi + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\beta(g)}{2g} G^2$ [Ji, PRL74 (1995)]
[Ji, Liu, arXiv:2101.04483]

... but the total EMT is conserved and should not contain the anomalous terms

See talks by Metz and Rodini

[Hatta, Rajan, Tanaka, JHEP12 (2018)] [Tanaka, JHEP01 (2019)] [Rodini, Metz, Pasquini, JHEP09 (2020)] [Metz, Pasquini, Rodini, PRD102 (2020)]



This undermines the simple interpretation attributed to the individual terms in Ji's decomposition

$$M = M_q + M_g + M_m + M_a$$
 E.g. $M_q \neq \langle \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \frac{1}{2} (\vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2) \rangle$

Fundamental sum rules

Mass (i.e. CM energy)
$$M = [A_q(0) + \bar{C}_q(0)] M + [A_g(0) + \bar{C}_g(0)] M$$

Momentum
$$\vec{p} = A_q(0) \vec{p} + A_g(0) \vec{p}$$

 $\label{eq:mechanical} \mbox{ Mechanical equilibrium } 0 = \left[-\bar{C}_q(0)\right] M + \left[-\bar{C}_g(0)\right] M \qquad \mbox{ in CM frame } M = \left[-\bar{C}_q(0)\right] M = \left[-\bar$

Trace decomposition (i.e. energy – 3 x pressure-volume work)

$$\frac{M^2}{p^0} = \left[A_q(0) + 4\bar{C}_q(0)\right] \frac{M^2}{p^0} + \left[A_g(0) + 4\bar{C}_g(0)\right] \frac{M^2}{p^0}$$

Ji's decomposition (obscure mix of energy and pressure-volume work in CM frame)

$$M_{q} = \frac{3}{4} \left(a - \frac{b}{1 + \gamma_{m}} \right) M \quad \neq \langle \int d^{3}r \psi^{\dagger} i \vec{D} \cdot \vec{\alpha} \psi \rangle \qquad \bigwedge$$
$$M_{g} = \frac{3}{4} \left(1 - a \right) M \qquad \neq \langle \int d^{3}r \frac{1}{2} (\vec{E}^{2} + \vec{B}^{2}) \rangle \qquad \bigwedge$$
$$M_{m} = \frac{4 + \gamma_{m}}{4(1 + \gamma_{m})} b M \qquad = \langle \int d^{3}r \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{m} \right) \overline{\psi} m \psi \rangle$$
$$M_{a} = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - b \right) M \qquad = \langle \int d^{3}r \frac{1}{4} \frac{\beta(g)}{2g} G^{2} \rangle$$

<u>NB</u>: one has $M_q - \frac{3\gamma_m}{4+\gamma_m}M_m + M_g - 3M_a = 0$ as a result of mechanical equilibrium!

Relations between Ji's parameters and gravitational FFs

$$\begin{aligned} A_q(0) &= a & A_q(0) + 4\bar{C}_q(0) = c_{a}(1-b) + c_m b \\ A_g(0) &= 1-a & A_g(0) + 4\bar{C}_g(0) = (1-c_{a})(1-b) + (1-c_m)b \end{aligned}$$

My opinion is that the interpretation associated with the terms in Ji's decomposition is questionable

One has to be very careful because renormalization, quark-gluon separation and trace operation do not commute!

Renormalization should preserve the EOM $(\overline{\psi}i D\psi)_R = (\overline{\psi}m\psi)_R$

Standard manipulation

$$(\overline{\psi}\gamma^0 i D^0 \psi)_R = (\psi^\dagger i \vec{D} \cdot \vec{\alpha} \psi)_R + (\overline{\psi} m \psi)_R$$

[Ji, PRL74 (1995)] [Ji, PRD52 (1995)] [Rodini, Metz, Pasquini, JHEP09 (2020)] [Metz, Pasquini, Rodini, PRD102 (2020)]

... but
$$g_{\mu\nu}(\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}iD^{\nu}\psi)_{R} = c_{a}(1+\gamma_{m}^{R})(\overline{\psi}m\psi)_{R} + c_{m}\frac{\beta_{R}(g_{R})}{2g_{R}}(G^{2})_{R}$$



It seems that the anomalous contributions come from the spatial part of the trace

Seems at odds with Lorentz covariance!?!