

# Lattice calculation of TMDWF by LaMET

Jun Hua

South China Normal University

2022.12.03 @LaMET2022

#### CONTENTS



# Why TMDs ?

After several decades of research into the inner structures of hadrons, our understanding of structures has expanded from 1-dimensional (PDF, DA...) to 3-dimensional (TMDs).





> TMDs are playing an increasing important role in understanding high-energy scattering



Why TMDs ?

> Targets for the next generation of high energy colliders.

Semi-inclusive hadron production in deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS)

Transverse momentum dependent (TMD) quark distributions of nucleons

#### The Electron-lon Collider

 $\vec{k} \quad \vec{k} \quad \vec{s}_{1}$ 



A machine that will unlock the secret of the strongest force in the

# Why LaMET ?

**>** Large Momentum Effective Theory:



• Entire x dependence distributions



# Why LaMET ?

> Large Momentum Effective Theory:



• Be capable for TMDs





# Why TMDWF ?

#### For TMDWFs

Nonperturbative nature of hadrons in phenomenological research on exclusive processes

Platform for extracting soft function

More stable for extracting Collins-Soper kernel





#### Process: SF & CS K

Lattice Calculation

- (LPC) M.H.Chu et.al. PRD.106, 034509 (2022) CS kernel from quasi-TMDWFs (1-loop)
- P. Shanahan et.al. PRD.104, 114502(2021) CS kernel from quasi-TMDPDFs (1-loop)
- M. Schlemmer et.al. JHEP.08,004(2021) CS kernel by different TMDs
- L.Yuan, X.Feng et.al. PRL. 128, 062002 (2022) Twists' effects on soft function
- (LPC) Q.A. Zhang et.al. PRL. 125, 192001 (2020)
   Soft function and CS kernel (First)

#### **Process: SF & CS K**

Theoretical Support

- Y.S.Su et.al. 2209.01236 (2022) Longitudinal momentum logarithms resummation
- (LPC) K.Zhang PRL.129,082002 (2022) Renormalization of TMDs on lattice
- Z.F.Deng et.al. JHEP.09,046(2022) TMDWF and one-loop soft function in LaMET
- X.D.Ji, Y.Z.Liu PRD. 105, 076014 (2021) TMDWFs' calculation in LaMET
- X.D.Ji et.al. RMP.93, 035005(2021) An overview on on LaMET

#### **MILC ensemble**

| $L^3 \times T$      | a(fm) | т <sup>sea</sup> (MeV) | $m^{v}_{\pi}(MeV)$ |
|---------------------|-------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 24 <sup>3</sup> ×64 | 0.121 | 310                    | 670                |
|                     |       |                        | measurement        |
|                     |       |                        | 1053×4             |

- 2+1+1 flavors of HISQ action (MILC)
- Momenta: 1.72GeV, 2.15GeV, 2.58GeV, 3.01GeV
- Coulomb gauge fixed wall source propagators

#### **CLS ensemble**

| $L^3 \times T$      | a(fm) | $m_{\pi}^{sea}(MeV)$ | $m_{\pi}^{v}(MeV)$ |
|---------------------|-------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 48 <sup>3</sup> ×48 | 0.098 | 333                  | 662                |
|                     |       |                      | measurement        |
|                     |       |                      | 952×4              |

- 2+1 flavors of Symanzik gauge action (CLS)
- Momenta: 1.58GeV, 2.11GeV, 2.64GeV, 3.16GeV
- Coulomb gauge fixed wall source propagators

#### Multiplicative factorization of quasi-TMDWF in LaMET

$$\frac{\tilde{\Psi}^{\pm}(x,b_{\perp},\mu,\zeta^{z})S_{I}^{\frac{1}{2}}(b_{\perp},\mu)}{=H^{\pm}(x,\zeta^{z},\mu)\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}K(b_{\perp},\mu)\ln\frac{\pm\zeta^{z}+i\epsilon}{\zeta}\right]\Psi^{\pm}(x,b_{\perp},\mu,\zeta)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{2}}{x\zeta_{z}},\frac{M^{2}}{(P^{z})^{2}},\frac{1}{b_{\perp}^{2}\zeta_{z}}\right)$$

- $\widetilde{\Psi}^{\pm}(x, b_{\perp}, \mu, \zeta_z)$ : Quasi-TMDWF, calculable on Euclidean lattice
- $S_r(b_{\perp}, \mu)$ : Intrinsic soft function, non-canceling soft gluon radiation
- $H^{\pm}(\zeta_z, \overline{\zeta}_z, \mu^2)$ : Matching coefficient, perturbative, up to 1-loop result yet
- $K(b_{\perp}, \mu)$ : Collins-Soper kernel, evolution for rapidity scale
- $\Psi^{\pm}(x, b_{\perp}, \mu, \zeta)$ : TMDWF, physical observable



#### > Soft Function

$$egin{aligned} F(b_{ot},P_1,P_2,\Gamma,\mu) &= rac{\langle P_2|ar{q}(b_{ot})\Gamma q(b_{ot})ar{q}(0)\Gamma' q(0)|P_1
angle}{\langle 0|ar{q}(0)\gamma^\mu\gamma^5 q(0)|P_1
angle\langle P_2|ar{q}(0)\gamma_\mu\gamma^5 q(0)|0
angle} \ S_I(b_{ot},\mu) &= rac{F(b_{ot},P_1,P_2,\Gamma,\mu)}{\int dx_1 dx_2 H(x_1,x_2,\Gamma) ilde{\Psi}^{\pmst}(x_2,b_{ot},\zeta^z) ilde{\Psi}^{\pm}(x_1,b_{ot},\zeta^z)} \end{aligned}$$

#### Collins-Soper kernel

$$K(b_{\perp},\mu) = \frac{1}{\ln(P_1^z/P_2^z)} \ln \frac{H^{\pm}(xP_2^z,\mu)\tilde{\Psi}^{\pm}(x,b_{\perp},\mu,P_1^z)}{H^{\pm}(xP_1^z,\mu)\tilde{\Psi}^{\pm}(x,b_{\perp},\mu,P_2^z)}$$





$$ilde{\Psi}^{\pm}(x,b_{\perp},\mu,\zeta^z) = \lim_{L o\infty}\int rac{P^z dz}{2\pi} e^{ixzP^z} rac{ig\langle 0ig| ar{q}(z\hat{n}_z+b_{\perp}\hat{n}_{\perp})\gamma^t\gamma_5 U_{c\pm}q(0)ig|\pi(P^z)ig
angle}{\sqrt{Z_E(2L\pm z,b_{\perp},\mu)}Z_O(1/a,\mu,\Gamma)}$$

> Quasi TMDWF in coordinate space



Follow the hybrid scheme, we adopt an extrapolation at large λ

$$ilde{\Psi}^{\pm}(x,b_{\perp},\mu,\zeta^z) = \lim_{L o\infty}\int rac{P^z dz}{2\pi} e^{ixzP^z} rac{ig\langle 0 ig| ar{q}(z \hat{n}_z + b_{\perp} \hat{n}_{\perp}) \gamma^t \gamma_5 U_{c\pm} q(0) ig| \pi(P^z) ig
angle}{\sqrt{Z_E(2L\pm z,b_{\perp},\mu)} Z_O(1/a,\mu,\Gamma)}$$

#### > Quasi TMDWF in momentum space



16

$$\tilde{\Psi}^{\pm}(x,b_{\perp},\mu,\zeta^{z})S_{I}^{\frac{1}{2}}(b_{\perp},\mu) = H^{\pm}(x,\zeta^{z},\mu)\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}K(b_{\perp},\mu)\ln\frac{\pm\zeta^{z}+i\epsilon}{\zeta}\right]\Psi^{\pm}(x,b_{\perp},\mu,\zeta) \qquad \qquad H^{\pm}(x,\zeta^{z},\mu) \\ = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi}\left(-\frac{5\pi^{2}}{6} - 4 + l_{\pm} + \bar{l}_{\pm} - \frac{1}{2}(l_{\pm}^{2} + \bar{l}_{\pm}^{2})\right) \\ \geq \mathbf{Pz} \text{ dependence of TMDWF after mathing} \qquad \qquad \qquad l_{\pm} = \ln[(-x\zeta^{z}\pm i\epsilon)/\mu^{2}]$$



#### • Pz extrapolation:

$$\Psi^{\pm}(x,P_z)=\Psi^{\pm}(x,P_z
ightarrow\infty)+rac{c_2(x)}{P_z^2}+\mathcal{O}iggl(rac{1}{P_z^4}iggr)$$

17

#### **TMDWFs extracted by 3 cases:**







- The real part of the TMDWF decreases as  $b_{\perp}$  increases
- The imaginary part increases with  $b_{\perp}$  and then stabilises around 0.25
- The results from MILC and CLS show same trend with small difference

# **Summary**

- Calculation on transverse momentum dependent wave function(TMDWF) is indispensable
- ➤We calculate the one-loop intrinsic soft function and TMDWF with LaMET on MILC and CLS ensembles
- ➤The MILC and CLS results show good agreement, but discrete errors are still relatively significant in current results

# Thanks for your attention!

#### **Backup slides**

$$\tilde{\Psi}^{\pm}\left(x,b_{\perp},\mu,\zeta^{z}\right)S_{I}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(b_{\perp},\mu\right) = H^{\pm}\left(x,\zeta^{z},\mu\right)\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}K\left(b_{\perp},\mu\right)\ln\frac{\pm\zeta^{z}+i\epsilon}{\zeta}\right]\Psi^{\pm}\left(x,b_{\perp},\mu,\zeta\right)$$



#### **Backup slides**

$$\tilde{\Psi}^{\pm}\left(x,b_{\perp},\mu,\zeta^{z}\right)S_{I}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(b_{\perp},\mu\right) = H^{\pm}\left(x,\zeta^{z},\mu\right)\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}K\left(b_{\perp},\mu\right)\ln\frac{\pm\zeta^{z}+i\epsilon}{\zeta}\right]\Psi^{\pm}\left(x,b_{\perp},\mu,\zeta^{z}\right)$$

