PVDIS at SoLID: Physics Overview

Sonny Mantry University of North Georgia (UNG)

SoLID Opportunities and Challenges of Nuclear Physics at the Luminosity Frontier

June 17th-22nd, 2024

Parity-Violating DIS (PVDIS) at SoLID

Taken from P. Souder talk

Asymmetry Uncertainty (%) vs. x (60 days at each energy, P=85%)

• PVDIS at SoLID:

- High Luminosity ($\mathscr{L} \sim 10^{39} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$)
- High data processing rate
- Kinematics: $2 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq Q^2 \leq 10 \text{ GeV}^2, \ x \geq 0.2$
- Access to high-x region ($x \gtrsim 0.2$), sea quark effects suppressed
- Polarized electron beams (85 % polarization)
- Proton and Deuteron Targets (possibly ⁴⁸Ca)
- Deuteron is an isoscalar target, many hadronic effects cancel
- Extracted physics will be complementary to other low energy experiments (MOLLER, PS, etc), the EIC, and the LHC



arXiv:2209.13357, SoLID White Paper



Parity-

• Precision physics

• Measure electrow

- C_{iq} coupling
- Weak Mixir

• Constrain BSM pł

- **–** BSM reach .
- Leptophobi
- Dark Photo
- Dark-Z
- SMEFT Anal

• Hadronic Physics

- Charge Symmetry
- Higher Twist (HT)
- d/u proton PDF r

FIG. 1. Diagrams contributing to $X_{\mu\nu}^{2,2}$ (a), $X_{\mu\nu}^{4,2}$ (b), a (c). The crossed diagrams have not been drawn.

where F^a are the color operators, Q are the flavor c and $[]_+$ indicates the anticommutator (+) or th mutator (-), respectively. In the transverse part of the contribution of the gluon operator O^7 is small pared to that of O^9 ; it is suppressed by a factor of can be neglected as far as the transverse part i cerned.³ We will also neglect it in our calculation only keep the O^9 operator. We will actually justi later when we show that in the ratios R^{ν} and $R^{\overline{\nu}}$ the ratio R^{ν} and R^{ν} and R^{ν} and R^{ν} the ratio R^{ν} and R^{ν} the ratio R^{ν} and R^{ν} and R^{ν} and R^{ν} and R^{ν} the ratio R^{ν} and R^{ν} tribution of the $qF\bar{q}$ operator O^9 almost cancels, a which we think is common to all $qF\overline{q}$ operators, t their flavor structure is similar to that of $q\bar{q}$ ope As explained, we keep the O^9 operator, which through equations of motion can be expressed as a $(q\bar{q})^2$ ope

$$O_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{9\pm} = g(\widetilde{O}_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{2\pm} + \widetilde{O}_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{6\pm} + 2\widetilde{O}_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{4\pm})$$

Contact Interactions



• For $Q^2 << (M_Z)^2$ limit, the effective Lagrangian relevant for PVES scattering is given by:

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}^{PV} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 e(C_{1u}\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}u + C_{1d}\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}d) \\ -\sqrt{2}q \bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 e \bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 e \bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}q + C_{2q}\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e \bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 q + C_{2e}\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 e \bar{e}\gamma_{\mu}e \\ +\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e(C_{2u}\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 u + C_{2d}\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 d) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$$

Contact Interactions



• For $Q^2 << (M_Z)^2$ limit, the effective Lagrangian relevant for PVES scattering is given by:

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}^{PV} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 e(C_{1u}\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}u + C_{1d}\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}d)] \\ C_{1q}\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 e \bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 e \bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\rho_5 e \bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\rho_5 e \bar{e}\gamma_{\mu}e] \\ +\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e(C_{2u}\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 u + C_{2d}\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 d) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$$

• Tree-level Standard Mode $C_{1u} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W \approx -0.19$ $C_{1q} = 2 \begin{array}{c} C_{1u} & = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W \approx -0.19 \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W \approx 0.35 \\ C_{2u} & V_{\pm} & -\frac{1}{2} + 2 \sin^2 \theta_W \approx -0.04 \\ C_{2u} & V_{\pm} & -\frac{1}{2} + 2 \sin^2 \theta_W \approx -0.04 \\ C_{1u} & = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{C_{2d}}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W & \frac{1}{2} - 2 \sin^2 \theta_W \approx 0.04 \\ C_{1d} & = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W & C_{2d} = \frac{1}{2} - 2 \sin^2 \theta_W \\ \end{array}$

New Physics Effects



 $\mathcal{L}_{2d}^{PV} = \frac{G_F}{Q_2^2} \left[\overline{e} \gamma_Z^{\mu} \gamma_5 e(C_{1\mu} \overline{u} \gamma_{\mu} u + C_{1\mu} \overline{d} \gamma_{\mu} d) \right]$ • In the $Q_2^{PV} = \frac{G_F}{Q_2^2} \left[\overline{e} \gamma_Z^{\mu} \gamma_5 e(C_{1\mu} \overline{u} \gamma_{\mu} u + C_{1\mu} \overline{d} \gamma_{\mu} d) \right]$ parameterized by $C_{2n} = C_{1\mu} \overline{q} \gamma_{\mu} \eta_5 e^{i\beta} \overline{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 d$ $\mathcal{L} = \sum_d \sum_{ij} \frac{C_d}{\Lambda^{4-d}} \mathcal{O}_d^{ij}$ $\mathcal{L} = \sum_d \sum_{ij} \frac{C_d}{\Lambda^{4-d}} \mathcal{O$

prediction:



• Deviations from the SM prediction of the WNC couplings will lead to corresponding deviations in the extracted value of the weak mixing angle.

Accessing C_{iq} , C_{2e} via Parity-Violating Observables



Accessing C_{iq} , C_{2e} via Parity-Violating Observables



C_{iq}, C_{2e} via Parity-Violating Electron Scattering (PVES)

• Parity-Violating asymmetry using longitudinally polarized electron beams, can probe C_{iq} and C_{2e} :

$A_{RL} = \frac{\sigma_R - \sigma_L}{\sigma_R + \sigma_L}$

Elastic Electron-Proton Scattering Asymmetry

• Low Energy and forward $(E \rightarrow 0, Q^2 \rightarrow 0)$, elastic electron-proton scattering asymmetry is sensitive to the proton weak charge:

$$A_{RL} = -\frac{G_F Q^2}{4\pi\alpha\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} Q_W^p - F(E, Q^2) \end{bmatrix}$$
proton weak charge Proton structure

• At tree-level, the SM value of the proton weak charge is (receives radiative corrections + box diagram corrections):

$$Q_W^p = -2\left[2\frac{C_{1u}}{C_{1u}} + \frac{C_{1d}}{C_{1d}}\right] = 1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W$$

	$Q^2(\text{GeV}^2/\text{c}^2)$	E	δQ^p_W	$\delta \sin^2 \theta_W$
$Q_{\rm weak}$	0.025	1.155 GeV	~ 4 %	~ 0.3 %
P2 (MESA)	0.006	155 MeV	~ 2 %	~ 0.15 %

Moller Scattering Asymmetry

• Low Energy and forward $(E \rightarrow 0, Q^2 \rightarrow 0)$, elastic electron-electron scattering asymmetry is sensitive to the proton weak charge:

$$A_{RL} = \frac{G_F Q^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} \Big[\frac{1-y}{1+y^4 + (1-y)^4} \Big] Q_W^e$$

• At tree-level, the SM value of the proton weak charge is (receives radiative corrections + box diagram corrections):

$$Q_W^e = -2C_{2e} = -1 + 4\sin^2\theta_W$$

	$Q^2(\text{GeV}^2/\text{c}^2)$	E	δQ^e_W	$\delta \sin^2 \theta_W$
E158	0.026	50 GeV	~ 4 %	0.3 %
MOLLER	0.0056	11 GeV	$\sim 2.4~\%$	0.1 %

electron weak charge

PVDIS Asymmetry



$$a_{3} = \frac{2\sum_{q} e_{q} C_{2q}(q - \bar{q})}{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2}(q + \bar{q})}$$



Parity-Violating e-D Asymmetry

 Parity-violating e-D asymmetry is a powerful probe of the WNC couplings:

$$A_{\rm PV} \equiv \frac{\sigma_R - \sigma_L}{\sigma_R + \sigma_L} \simeq \frac{|A_Z|}{|A_\gamma|} \simeq \frac{G_F Q^2}{4\pi\alpha} \simeq 10^{-4} \frac{Q^2}{{\rm GeV}^2}$$



• Due to the isoscalar nature of the Deuteron target, the dependence of the asymmetry on the structure functions largely cancels (Cahn-Gilman formula).



• e-D asymmetry allows a precision measurement of the weak mixing angle.



FIG. 13. Adapted from Ref. [63]: Current experimental knowledge of the couplings g_{VA}^{eq} (vertical axis). The latest world data constraint (red ellipse) is provided by combining the 6 GeV Qweak [51] on g_{AV}^{eq} (yellow vertical band) and the JLab 6 GeV PVDIS [53, 54] experiments (grey ellipse). The SoLID projected result is shown as the cyan ellipse. Also shown are expected results from P2 (purple and pink vertical bands) and the combined projection using SoLID, P2, and all existing world data (magenta ellipse), centered at the current best fit values.



0.5

• The combination $2C_{1u} - C_{1d}$ is severely constrained by Qweak and Atomic Parity violation.

• The combination ${}^{2}C_{2u} - C_{2d}$ is known to within ~50% from the JLAB 6 GeV experiment:

$$2C_{2u} - C_{2d} = -0.145 \pm 0.068$$

SOLID is expected significantly improve on this result.

BSM Physics Scenarios

Leptophobic Z'

• Leptophobic Z's are an interesting BSM scenario since they only shifts the C_{2q} couplings in A_{PV}

• Leptophobic Z's only affect the b(x) term or the C_{2q} coefficients in $A_{PV:}$



Probing the Dark Sector

• Strong evidence for dark matter through gravitational effects:

- Galactic Rotation Curves
- Gravitational Lensing
- Cosmic Microwave Background
- Large Scale Structure Surveys
- WIMP dark matter paradigm
 - Mass ~ TeV
 - Weak interaction strength couplings
 - Gives the required relic abundance
- However, so far no direct evidence for WIMP dark matter
- Perhaps dark sector has a rich structure including different species and gauge forces, just like the visible sector



Dark Photon Scenario

- Dark $U(1)_d$ gauge group
- Interacts with SM via kinetic mixing (and mass mixing)

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4} F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m_{A'}^2}{2} A'_{\mu} A'^{\mu} + \frac{\epsilon}{2\cos\theta_W} F'_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$

• The mixing induces a coupling of the dark photon to the electromagnetic and weak neutral currents.

$$\mathscr{L}_{int} = -e\epsilon J^{\mu}_{em}A'_{\mu}$$

• Could help explain astrophysical data and anomalies

[Arkani-Hamed, Finkbeiner, Slatyer, Wiener, ...]



Dark Photon Scenario

10-4

10⁻³

 10^{-2}



meson

 10^{3}

 10^{2}

S. Alekhin et al., arXiv:1504.04855 [hep-ph]

mA' (MeV)

Beam Dump Experiments:

10

10-18

10-20

500

1000



[Bjorken, Essig, Schuster, Toro]

1

10

10⁻¹

m_{A'} (GeV)

Dark Photon Scenario: Impact on PVES

[Thomas, Wang, Williams]

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4} F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m_{A'}^2}{2} A'_{\mu} A'^{\mu} + \frac{\epsilon}{2\cos\theta_W} F'_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$

• Constraints on Dark Photon parameter space will be independent of the details of the decay branching fractions of the dark photon

• For a light dark photon, the induced coupling to the weak neutral coupling is suppressed (due to a cancellation between the kinetic and mass mixing induced couplings). [Gopalakrishna, Jung, Wells; Davoudiasl, Lee, Marciano]

• Thus, we consider a heavier dark photon for a sizable coupling to the weak neutral current and a correspondingly sizable effect in PVES. [Thomas, Wang, Williams]

Dark Photon Scenario: Impact on PVES
[Thomas, Wang, Williams]

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4}F'_{\mu\nu}F'^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m_{A'}^2}{2}A'_{\mu}A'^{\mu} + \frac{\epsilon}{2\cos\theta_W}F'_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$$

• Constraints on Dark Photon parameter space will be independent of the details of the decay branching fractions of the dark photon

• The usual PVDIS asymmetry has the form:

$$A_{\rm PV}^{\rm DIS} = \frac{G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}(1+Q^2/M_Z^2)\pi\alpha} \Big[a_1 + \frac{1-(1-y)^2}{1+(1-y)^2}a_3\Big]$$

• Including the effects of a dark photon, we get additional terms:

$$\begin{split} A_{\rm PV} &= \frac{Q^2}{2\sin^2 2\theta_W (Q^2 + M_Z^2)} \left[a_1^{\gamma Z} + \frac{1 - (1 - y)^2}{1 + (1 - y)^2} a_3^{\gamma Z} \right. \\ &+ \frac{Q^2 + M_Z^2}{Q^2 + M_{A_D}^2} (\frac{a_1^{\gamma A_D}}{1 + (1 - y)^2} + \frac{1 - (1 - y)^2}{1 + (1 - y)^2} \frac{a_3^{\gamma A_D}}{3}) \right], \end{split}$$

	0.20	
	0.15	1
ε	0.10	-

Dark Photon Scenario: Impact on PVES ~ 0.10

• Equivalent to working with the usual PVDIS formula:

$$A_{\rm PV}^{\rm DIS} = \frac{G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}(1+Q^2/M_Z^2)\pi\alpha} \left[a_1 + \frac{1-(1-y)^2}{1+(1-y)^2}a_3\right]$$

• But with shifted C_{iq} couplings:

$$C_{1q} = C_{1q}^{Z} + \frac{Q^{2} + M_{Z}^{2}}{Q^{2} + M_{A_{D}}^{2}} C_{1q}^{A_{D}} = C_{1q}^{SM} (1 + R_{1q})$$

$$0.05$$

$$C_{2q} = C_{2q}^{Z} + \frac{Q^{2} + M_{Z}^{2}}{Q^{2} + M_{A_{D}}^{2}}C_{2q}^{A_{D}} = C_{2q}^{SM}(1 + R_{2q})$$

[Thomas, Wang, Williams]

40

40

0.05

0.20

0.15

Dark Photon Scenario: Shift in C_{1q} (PREX)



FIG. 1. The correction factors R_{1u} and R_{1d} at $Q^2 = 0.00616 \text{ GeV}^2$, appropriate to the PREX-II experiment. The gap on the $\epsilon - M$ plane is not accessible because of "eigenmass repulsion" associated with the Z mass.

[Thomas, Wang, Williams]

Dark Photon Scenario: Shift in C_{iq} (PVDIS, HERA)







Dark Photon Scenario: Shift in C_{iq} (PVDIS)

Qualitatively different behavior in shifts to C_{iq} for different Q^2

Useful to explore dark-photon space over a wide range of Q^2

Light Dark-Z Parity Violation

[Davoudiasl, Lee, Marciano]

- An interesting scenario is that of a "light" Dark-Z.
- The standard kinetic mixing scenario:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = -\frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\cos \theta_W} B_{\mu\nu} Z_d^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} Z_{d\mu\nu} Z_d^{\mu\nu}$$

 And additional mass mixing (for example, from extended Higgs sector) to induce sizable dark-Z coupling to the weak neutral current:

$$\varepsilon_{X} \qquad M_0^2 = m_Z^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\varepsilon_Z \\ -\varepsilon_Z & m_{Z_d}^2/m_Z^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\varepsilon_Z = \frac{m_{Z_d}}{m_Z} \delta$$

r ε γ Z'

 It may interact with DM, but
 Dark-Z couples to the electromagnetic and neutral current coupling: SM particles have zero charges

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \left(-e\varepsilon J_{\mu}^{em} - \frac{g}{2\cos\theta_W}\varepsilon_Z J_{\mu}^{NC}\right) Z_d^{\mu}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\varepsilon}{\cos\theta_W}B_{\mu\nu}Z'^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}Z'_{\mu\nu}Z'^{\mu\nu} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\varepsilon eJ_{em}^{\mu}Z'_{\mu}$$

Light Dark-Z Parity Violation

[Davoudiasl, Lee, Marciano]

• Effective change in presence of dark-Z for parity violating asymmetries:



SMEFT Analysis

Standard Model Effective Theory (SMEFT) Operator Basis [Boughezal, Petriello, Wiegand]

• The SMEFT basis often used in global fit analysis to constrain new physics beyond the electroweak scale:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i C_i^6 \mathcal{O}_{6,i} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \sum_i C_i^8 \mathcal{O}_{8,i} + \dots$$

• Relevant SMEFT operators for DIS processes at dim-6 and dim-8

Dimension 6		Dimension 8	
$\mathcal{O}_{lq}^{(1)}$	$\left(\overline{l}\gamma^{\mu}l\right)\left(\overline{q}\gamma_{\mu}q\right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{l^2q^2D^2}^{(1)}$	$D^{\nu} \left(\overline{l} \gamma^{\mu} l \right) D_{\nu} \left(\overline{q} \gamma_{\mu} q \right)$
$\mathcal{O}_{lq}^{(3)}$	$\left(\overline{l} \gamma^{\mu} \tau^{i} l \right) \left(\overline{q} \gamma_{\mu} \tau^{i} q \right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{l^{2}q^{2}D^{2}}^{(3)}$	$D^{\nu}\left(\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}\tau^{i}l\right)D_{\nu}\left(\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}\tau^{i}q\right)$
\mathcal{O}_{eu}	$\left(\overline{e}\gamma^{\mu}e\right)\left(\overline{u}\gamma_{\mu}u\right)$	$\left egin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{e^2 u^2 D^2}^{(1)} ight $	$D^{\nu}\left(\overline{e}\gamma^{\mu}e\right)D_{\nu}\left(\overline{u}\gamma_{\mu}u\right)$
\mathcal{O}_{ed}	$\left(\overline{e}\gamma^{\mu}e\right)\left(\overline{d}\gamma_{\mu}d\right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{e^2d^2D^2}^{(1)}$	$D^{\nu}\left(\overline{e}\gamma^{\mu}e\right)D_{\nu}\left(\overline{d}\gamma_{\mu}d\right)$
\mathcal{O}_{lu}	$\left(\overline{l}\gamma^{\mu}l\right)\left(\overline{u}\gamma_{\mu}u\right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{l^2u^2D^2}^{(1)}$	$D^{\nu}\left(\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}l\right)D_{\nu}\left(\overline{u}\gamma_{\mu}u\right)$
\mathcal{O}_{ld}	$\left(\overline{l}\gamma^{\mu}l\right)\left(\overline{d}\gamma_{\mu}d\right)$	$\left \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{l^2 d^2 D^2}^{(1)} \end{array} \right $	$D^{ u}\left(\overline{l}\gamma^{\mu}l ight)D_{ u}\left(\overline{d}\gamma_{\mu}d ight)$
\mathcal{O}_{qe}	$(\overline{q}\gamma^{\mu}q)(\overline{e}\gamma_{\mu}e)$	$\mathcal{O}_{q^2e^2D^2}^{(1)}$	$D^{\nu}\left(\overline{q}\gamma^{\mu}q\right)D_{\nu}\left(\overline{e}\gamma_{\mu}e\right)$

$\frac{\text{SMEFT vs } C_{iq}}{\text{[Boughezal, Petriello, Wiegand]}}$

• For low energy experiments, typically the C_{iq} basis of operators based on V-A structure after EWSB is used:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{PV} &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \bigg[(\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 e) (C_{1u}^6 \bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}u + C_{1d}^6 \bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}d) + (\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu} e) (C_{2u}^6 \bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 u + C_{2d}^6 \bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 d) \\ &\quad + (\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu} e) (C_{Vu}^6 \bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}u + C_{Vd}^6 \bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}d) + (\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 e) (C_{Au}^6 \bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 u) \\ &\quad + D^{\nu} \bigg(\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 e \bigg) D_{\nu} \bigg(\frac{C_{1u}^8}{v^2} \bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}u + \frac{C_{1d}^8}{v^2} \bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}d \bigg) + D^{\nu} \bigg(\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu} e \bigg) D_{\nu} \bigg(\frac{C_{2u}^8}{v^2} \bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 u + \frac{C_{2d}^8}{v^2} \bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 d \bigg) \\ &\quad + D^{\nu} \bigg(\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu} e \bigg) D_{\nu} \bigg(\frac{C_{Vu}^8}{v^2} \bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}u + \frac{C_{Vd}^8}{v^2} \bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}d \bigg) + D^{\nu} \bigg(\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 e \bigg) D_{\nu} \bigg(\frac{C_{Au}^8}{v^2} \bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 u \bigg) \bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

• One can find relations between the two bases:

$$\begin{split} C_{1u}^{6} &= 2(g_{R}^{e} - g_{L}^{e})(g_{R}^{u} + g_{L}^{u}) + \frac{v^{2}}{2\Lambda^{2}} \left\{ -\left(C_{lq}^{(1)} - C_{lq}^{(3)}\right) + C_{eu} + C_{qe} - C_{lu} \right\} \\ C_{2u}^{6} &= 2(g_{R}^{e} + g_{L}^{e})(g_{R}^{u} - g_{L}^{u}) + \frac{v^{2}}{2\Lambda^{2}} \left\{ -\left(C_{lq}^{(1)} - C_{lq}^{(3)}\right) + C_{eu} - C_{qe} + C_{lu} \right\} \\ C_{1d}^{6} &= 2(g_{R}^{e} - g_{L}^{e})(g_{R}^{d} + g_{L}^{d}) + \frac{v^{2}}{2\Lambda^{2}} \left\{ -\left(C_{lq}^{(1)} + C_{lq}^{(3)}\right) + C_{ed} + C_{qe} - C_{ld} \right\} \\ C_{2d}^{6} &= 2(g_{R}^{e} + g_{L}^{e})(g_{R}^{d} - g_{L}^{d}) + \frac{v^{2}}{2\Lambda^{2}} \left\{ -\left(C_{lq}^{(1)} + C_{lq}^{(3)}\right) + C_{ed} - C_{qe} + C_{ld} \right\} \\ C_{Vu}^{6} &= 2(g_{R}^{e} + g_{L}^{e})(g_{R}^{u} + g_{L}^{u}) + \frac{v^{2}}{2\Lambda^{2}} \left\{ \left(C_{lq}^{(1)} - C_{lq}^{(3)}\right) + C_{eu} + C_{qe} + C_{lu} \right\} \\ C_{Au}^{6} &= 2(g_{R}^{e} - g_{L}^{e})(g_{R}^{u} - g_{L}^{u}) + \frac{v^{2}}{2\Lambda^{2}} \left\{ \left(C_{lq}^{(1)} - C_{lq}^{(3)}\right) + C_{eu} - C_{qe} - C_{lu} \right\} \\ C_{Vd}^{6} &= 2(g_{R}^{e} - g_{L}^{e})(g_{R}^{u} + g_{L}^{u}) + \frac{v^{2}}{2\Lambda^{2}} \left\{ \left(C_{lq}^{(1)} - C_{lq}^{(3)}\right) + C_{eu} - C_{qe} - C_{lu} \right\} \\ C_{Vd}^{6} &= 2(g_{R}^{e} - g_{L}^{e})(g_{R}^{d} + g_{L}^{d}) + \frac{v^{2}}{2\Lambda^{2}} \left\{ \left(C_{lq}^{(1)} - C_{lq}^{(3)}\right) + C_{ed} + C_{qe} + C_{lu} \right\} . \end{split}$$

SMEFT Constraints from Drell-Yan at LHC

[Boughezal, Petriello, Wiegand]

• The SMEFT Wilson coefficients that affect PVES also contribute to the Drell-Yan process at the LHC $\frac{d\sigma_{q\bar{q}}}{dm_{u}^{2}dYdc_{\theta}} = \frac{1}{32\pi m_{u}^{2}\hat{s}}f_{q}(x_{1})f_{\bar{q}}(x_{2})\left\{\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}^{\gamma\gamma}}{dm_{u}^{2}dYdc_{\theta}} + \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}^{\gammaZ}}{dm_{u}^{2}dYdc_{\theta}} + \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}^{ZZ}}{dm_{u}^{2}dYdc_{\theta}} + \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}^{ZZ}}{dm_{u}^{2}dYdc_{\theta}} + \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}^{ZZ}}{dm_{u}^{2}dYdc_{\theta}} + \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}^{ZZ}}{dm_{u}^{2}dYdc_{\theta}} + \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}^{ZZ}}{dm_{u}^{2}dYdc_{\theta}}\right\}$

 PVES and the LHC can be complementary to each other in constraining new physics

Lifting Flat Directions

[Boughezal, Petriello, Wiegand]



• PVES and Drell-Yan at the LHC are sensitive to different combinations of the SMEFT Wilson coefficients.

• PVES can lift "flat directions" by probing orthogonal directions in the SMEFT parameter space compared to the LHC

Lifting Flat Directions

[Boughezal, Petriello, Wiegand]



• An example of SOLID probing a unique direction in parameter space. Neither the LHC, Qweak, P2, or APV have sensitivity in this region

• This requires that $2C_{1u} - C_{1d}$ is assumed to be know from the P2 experiment so that the SOLID then directly measures $2C_{2u} - C_{2d}$

Disentangling Dim-6 and Dim-8 SMEFT Operators



• Another advantage of low energy PVES experiments:

The large energy of the LHC can make it difficult to disentangle the effects of dim-6 or dim-8 (and dim-6 squared) operators.

Low energy PVES will only have sensitivity to dim-6 operators providing valuable input to disentangle dim-6 vs dim-8.

Hadronic Effects

Corrections to Cahn-Gilman

• Hadronic effects appear as corrections to the Cahn-Gilman formula:

 Hadronic effects must be well understood before any claim for evidence of new physics can be made.
 IBiorken, Wolfenstein: Hobbs, Melnitchouk:

[Bjorken, Wolfenstein; Hobbs, Melnitchouk; SM, Ramsey-Musolf, Sacco; Belitsky, Mashanov, Schafer; Seng, Ramsey-Musolf,]

Some Definitions and Notation

• Asymmetry can be brought into the form:

$$A_{RL} = -\left(\frac{G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}\right) \left[g_A^e Y_1 \frac{F_1^{\gamma Z}}{F_1^{\gamma}} + g_V^e Y_3 \frac{F_3^{\gamma Z}}{F_1^{\gamma}}\right]$$

• The Y_1 factor has the form:

$$Y_1 = \left(\frac{1+R^{\gamma Z}}{1+R^{\gamma}}\right) \frac{1+(1-y)^2 - y^2 \left[1-r^2/(1+R^{\gamma Z})\right] - 2xyM/E}{1+(1-y)^2 - y^2 \left[1-r^2/(1+R^{\gamma})\right] - 2xyM/E}$$

• The Y_3 factor has the form:

$$Y_3 = \left(\frac{r^2}{1+R^{\gamma}}\right) \frac{1-(1-y)^2}{1+(1-y)^2 - y^2 \left[1-r^2/(1+R^{\gamma})\right] - 2xyM/E}$$

• We have used the definitions:

$$R^{\gamma(\gamma Z)} \equiv \frac{\sigma_L^{\gamma(\gamma Z)}}{\sigma_T^{\gamma(\gamma Z)}} = r^2 \frac{F_2^{\gamma(\gamma Z)}}{2x F_1^{\gamma(\gamma Z)}} - 1, \qquad r^2 = 1 + \frac{4M^2 x^2}{Q^2}$$

Key features of the Asymmetry Terms

• Asymmetry can be brought into the form:

 $A_{RL} = -\left(\frac{G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}\right) \left| g_A^e Y_1 \frac{F_1^{\gamma \omega}}{F_1^{\gamma}} + g_V^e Y_3 \frac{F_3^{\gamma \omega}}{F_1^{\gamma}} \right|$

- Dominant term in asymmetry
- Can in principle be kinematically distinguished from second term (independent of y)
- Can be sensitive to only quarkquark correlations
- A single twist-4 matrix element determines quark-quark correlations.

[Bjorken, Wolfenstein; SM, Ramsey-Musolf, Sacco]

- suppressed by small electron vector coupling
- Can be kinematically distinguished from second term(dependent on y)
- Can be sensitive to quark-quark and quark-gluon correlations
- Multiple twist-4 matrix elements determine correlations
- Can be extracted from neutrino scattering data

e the color operators, Q are the flavor charges, dicates the anticommutator (+) **Tryithe2** com-), respectively. In the transverse part of $X_{\mu\nu}^{4,2}$ ation of the gluon operator O^7 is small com-It of O^9 ; it is suppressed by a factor of $\frac{1}{10}$, and lected as far as the transverse part is cone will also neglect it in our calculations, and he O^9 operator. We will actually justify this correlation $4(\frac{1}{100})^2 + d^3wist 4$ ag $|O_{00}^i(x)|$ we show that in the ratios R^{ν} and R^{ν} the conthe $qF\bar{q}$ operator O^9 almost cancels, a feature hink is common to all $qF\overline{q}$ operators, because structure is similar to that of $q\bar{q}$ operators. d, we keep the O^9 operator, which through the

 $g(\widetilde{O}_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{2\pm} + \widetilde{O}_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{6\pm} + 2\widetilde{O}_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{4\pm})$

tors in Eqs. (11) and (12) we use We again closely follow the proce and Soldate.³ We write the most ge trix elements of the traceless (suppressing flavor charges)

 $\langle N; p \mid O_{\mu\nu}^{i}(0) \mid N; p \rangle = A^{i}(p_{\mu}p)$

In the target rest frame one can us culate the A''s, yielding

 $\equiv \frac{2}{MV}a^{i} = \frac{8B}{M^{2}}a^{i},$

where V is the bag volume and H f motion can be expressed as a (q Quaekaquark colar lation (twistel 4) ws from a bag vi defined in this way are dimensio model spinor for a massless quark i (12)

with k = 2.043/R, one finds that e., matrix alaments in terms of two

Form of twist-4 correction



• Bag model estimate of quark-quark correlation is below the half-percent level.

• If the Bag Model estimate is accurate, then higher twist effect is small and becomes difficult to extract.

Charge Symmetry Violation (CSV)

[Hobbs,Melnitchouk]

• Parameterization of CSV effects:

$$u_{p} = u + \frac{\delta u}{2}$$

$$d_{p} = d + \frac{\delta d}{2}$$

$$u_{n} = d - \frac{\delta d}{2}$$

$$d_{n} = u - \frac{\delta u}{2}$$

$$R_{1}(CSV) = \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2C_{1u} + C_{1d}}{2C_{1u} - C_{1d}}\right) - \frac{3}{10}\right]\left(\frac{\delta u - \delta d}{u + d}\right)$$

• Phenomenological model of CSV effects:

$$\delta u - \delta d = 2\kappa f(x)$$
$$f(x) = x^{-1/2}(1-x)^4(x-0.0909)$$

CSV vs Higher Twist

[SM, Ramsey-Musolf, Sacco]



• These estimates indicate that HT effects may be small compared to CSV effects.



FIG. 11. Illustration of PVDIS asymmetry on a deuteron target in ppm on the (x, Q^2) plane. The data are divided into evenly spaced grid with the bin number shown. The expected statistical uncertainty is less than 1% in most of the bins.

FIG. 12. Experimental determination of the weak mixing angle $\sin^2 \theta_W$. Data points for Tevatron and LHC are shifted horizontally for clarity.

$$A_{PV}^{\text{data}} = A_{PV,(d)}^{\text{SM}} \left(1 + \frac{\beta_{\text{HT}}}{(1-x)^3 Q^2} + \beta_{\text{CSV}} x^2 \right)$$

[Emmert, Nycz, Zheng]

Uncertainty Contributions to A_{PV}

Statistical uncertainty

$$dA_{PV}^{\mathrm{stat}} = rac{1}{P_e\sqrt{n_b}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{stat},b}$$

with $P_e = 0.8$ and bin event count n_b computed from rates for **120** days of run time

Experimental systematic uncertainties

Source	Relative Uncertainty dA/A
Beam polarization	0.4%
Q^2 determination	0.2%
Event reconstruction	0.2%
Radiative correction	0.2%

Completely correlated ($\sigma_{\rm corr}/A = 0.45\%$) Uncorrelated ($\sigma_{\rm uncorr}/A = 0.28\%$)



[Boughezal, Emmert, Kutz, SM, Nycz, Petriello, Simsek, Wiegand, Zheng]

• SoLID can extract the weak mixing angle with higher precision than the EIC.

Extraction of the Weak Mixing Angle

• PVDIS asymmetry with a proton target (ignoring sea quarks):

$$A_{RL}^{p} = \frac{3G_{F}Q^{2}}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \frac{(2C_{1u} - d/u C_{1d}) + Y(2C_{2u} - d/u C_{2d})}{4 + d/u}$$

$$Y = \frac{1 - (1 - y)^2}{1 + (1 - y)^2}$$



FIG. 14. Projected results on the PDF ratio d/u from the PVDIS proton measurement (red points) compared with the current world fits from a number of PDF groups and their uncertainties. The error bars of the SoLID projection indicate the uncertainty in the extracted d/u from statistical uncertainties, while uncorrelated systematic uncertainties are negligible. The two horizontal shaded bands show the uncertainty in d/u due to omitting sea quarks in Eq. (25) (model uncertainty, orange-colored band), and from correlated systematic uncertainties (dark grey band). Projections on MARATHON and CLAS12 BoNuS are from their respective experimental proposals [72, 73].

• Typically d/u is extracted from a comparison of fully inclusive DIS on proton vs deuteron targets. However, nuclear effects in the deuteron need to be modeled well to extract d/u.

• The PVDIS asymmetry allows for an extraction of proton PDF ratio d/u in the valence quark region, free of nuclear effects.

• Complementary other experiments (BoNuS, MARATHON) that use different nuclear targets to extract d/u.

Conclusions

• PVDIS with SoLID at JLAB can provide unique and complementary information to constrain new physics

• Allows for precision extractions of the weak mixing angle

• It can provide input for the global SMEFT analysis by lifting flat directions and disentangling dim-6 and dim-8 operators

• Can constrain the parameter space of Dark photons/Z and Leptophobic Z-primes

• Could provide a way to explore hadronic effects such as higher twist quark-quark correlations, charge symmetry violation, and d/u PDF ratios